UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,591	09/13/2006	Dong-seok Kim	29137.096.00	1374
	7590 12/30/201 DNG & ALDRIDGE L	EXAMINER		
1900 K STREET, NW			LISTVOYB, GREGORY	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Occurs	10/550,591	KIM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	GREGORY LISTVOYB	1765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time 17 ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Oct 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-17 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada et al (US 2002/0055610) herein Okada (cited in the previous Office Action) in view of Haehne et al (US 5474876) herein Haehne as evidences by Berrada, M. et al., "Novel Negative-Type Soluble Photosensitive Polyimides: Synthesis and Characterization", Chem., vol. 8, No. 5 (1996), pp. 1029-1034., herein Berrada (cited in the previous Office Action).

Okada discloses a reactive transparent polyimide precursor and polyimide comprising a reaction product of alicyclic tetracarboxylic acid dianhydride (1,2,3,4 cyclobutanetetracarboxylic acid dianhydride, ((see line 0139), which is the same compound used in the Application) and the diamine, having ethylenically unsaturated side chain (the same diaminophenyl cinnamate used in the application, see line 0150).

In reference to Claim 3, Okada teaches that Molecular Weight of the polyamide precursor is within the range of 5000-1000000 (see line 0136).

Note that Okada does not teach Negative-Type Soluble Photosensitive Polyimides.

As evidences by Berrada, Negative-Type Soluble Photosensitive Polyimides, having cinnamoyl, acryloyl or methacryloyl fragments in the polyimide precursor (see page 1031) need much lower temperature and shorter time of heat treatment compare to conventional materials, resulting in a low shrinkage of the film thickness.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to use Okada's polyimide as Negative-Type Soluble Photosensitive material, since it requires much lower temperature and shorter time of heat treatment compare to conventional materials, resulting in a low shrinkage of the film thickness.

Okada does not teach partially esterified polyamic acid.

Haehne teaches negative polyimide precursor composition (see Abstract, Column 1, line 25), having following formulas:

Application/Control Number: 10/550,591 Page 4

Art Unit: 1765

Radiation-sensitive precursor A

Radiation-crosslinked intermediate B

Where R can represent allyl alcohol derivative (see Column 3, line 25)

Thus, esterified and neat polyamic acid can be used interchangeably. In addition, partial esterification of polyamic acid makes material more hydrophobic, increasing its solubility in organic solvents.

The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a *prima facie* obviousness determination in *Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp.*,

325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945), 325 U.S. at 335, 65 USPQ at 301, see also *In re Leshin*, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960), *Ryco, Inc. v. Ag-Bag Corp.*, 857 F.2d 1418, 8 USPQ2d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and MPEP 2144.07.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to use partially esterified polyamic acid, since it is a known material based on its suitability for its intended use.

Regarding acid values within the range of 30-200 mg/KOH, the position is taken that since Okada's precursor, modified with Haehne, has the same structure as one of the Application examined, all their physical properties are expected to be identical.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY LISTVOYB whose telephone number is (571)272-6105. The examiner can normally be reached on 10am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,591 Page 6

Art Unit: 1765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GL /GREGORY LISTVOYB/ Examiner, Art Unit 1765